

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT OF THE DEGREE COURSE

1) Information about the Degree course

Degree course Code (Codicione)	_____			
University	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano			
State or non-state	<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-state		
University type	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Traditional	<input type="checkbox"/> Telematic or offering more than 30% distance learning degree courses		
Geographic Area	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> North-east	<input type="checkbox"/> North-west	<input type="checkbox"/> Center	<input type="checkbox"/> South and Islands
Degree class Type	_____			
	<input type="checkbox"/> L/BA	<input type="checkbox"/> LMCU	<input type="checkbox"/> LM/MA	
Distribution	<input type="checkbox"/> Conventional	<input type="checkbox"/> Mixed	<input type="checkbox"/> Mostly distance-learning	<input type="checkbox"/> Entirely distance-learning
Regular duration	_____			

2) Indicators Part I:

Please refer to the **Group A - Indicators relating to didactics and the Group B - Indicators related to Internationalization DM 987/2016, Annex E** of the file *Scheda del Corso di Studio – October 2024*.

Summary comment on the Indicators and analysis of any critical issues

Corrective Actions

Please note: the texts in blue represent indications to support the compilation and must be removed before submitting the Form.

The Degree course **should analyse and comment** on the following **indicators** in this section:

- ***iC2 Percentage of graduates within the regular course duration;***
- ***iC10 Percentage of CPs received abroad by regular students out of the total of CPs achieved by students within the regular course duration;***
- ***iC11 Percentage of graduates within the regular course duration who have acquired at least 12 CPs abroad;***
- ***iC13: Percentage of CPs achieved in the first year out of the total CPs to be achieved***
- ***iC14: Percentage of students continuing to the second year in the same Degree Course having acquired at least 20 CPs in the first year***
- ***iC16bis: Percentage of students who continue to the second year in the same Degree Course having acquired at least 2/3 of the CPs foreseen in the first year***
- ***iC17 Percentage of registered students graduating within one year beyond the regular duration of the Degree Course in the same Degree Course***
- ***iC19: Hours of teaching provided by teachers employed on a permanent basis out of total hours of teaching provided***

Each Degree Course is free to comment on additional indicators of its choice, in addition to those indicated above.

The Quality Committee recommends comparing the data, if possible, firstly with the average of the geographic area and secondly, with the national average data, as well as checking the trend over time (where available).

For indicators that show a certain critical issue (lower value than the average data of the geographical and national area or negative trend), the Degree Course is called upon to investigate the possible causes and to propose corrective actions using the scheme below (one scheme for each proposed action). Corrective actions showing few connections to the critical issue must be avoided, as well as too generic or unrealistic proposals, or actions dependent on other bodies that are, hence, out of its control.

Action n.:

Title:

Goal to be improved:

Indicator(s):

Responsible: *(where possible, it is suggested to identify a single person and not a group e.g., Course Council, course lecturers)*

Description of measures to be taken:

Duration (execution time/deadline):

Status: *(Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)*

Additional actions foreseen: *(If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)*

3) Indicators Part II:

Please refer to the **Group E - Further indicators for the evaluation of didactics DM 987/2016, Annex E and the Additional Indicators** of the file *Scheda del Corso di Studio – October 2021*.

Summary comment on the Indicators and analysis of any critical issues

Corrective actions

Please note: the texts in blue represent indications to support the compilation and must be removed before submitting the Form.

The Degree course **should analyse and comment** on the following **indicators** in this section:

- **iC22: Percentage of enrolled students graduating within the normal duration of the course**
- **iC24 Percentage of dropouts after N+1 years**
- **iC27: Ratio of students enrolled/total teaching staff (weighted by teaching hours)**
- **iC28: Ratio of students enrolled in first year/first-year teaching staff (weighted by teaching hours)**

Each Degree Course is free to comment on additional indicators of its choice, in addition to those indicated above.

The Quality Committee recommends comparing the data, if possible, firstly with the average of the geographic area and secondly, with the national average data, as well as checking the trend over time (where available).

For indicators that show a certain critical issue (value lower than the average of the geographic and national area or negative trend), the Degree course is called upon to investigate the possible causes and to propose corrective actions using the schema below (for each proposed action). Corrective actions showing few connections to the critical issue must be avoided, as well as too generic or unrealistic proposals, or actions dependent on other bodies and uncontrollable.

Action n.:

Title:

Goal to be improved:

Indicator(s):

Responsible: (where possible, it is suggested to identify a single person and not a group e.g., Course Council, course lecturers)
Description of measures to be taken:
Duration (execution time/deadline):
Status: (Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)
Additional actions foreseen: (If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)

4) Status of corrective actions and feedback received from the Join Studies Committee and the Evaluation Committee

Indication of the status quo of corrective actions taken over the past years

Please note: the texts in blue represent indications to support the compilation and must be removed before submitting the Form.

The Degree course should give a brief description of the status quo of the implementation of the suggestions and corrective actions proposed in the monitoring reports from previous years using the schema below (for each proposed action).

Action n.:

Title:

Goal to be improved:

Indicator(s):

Responsible: (where possible, it is suggested to identify a single person and not a group e.g., Course Council, course lecturers)

Description of measures to be taken:

Duration (execution time/deadline):

Status: (Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)

Additional actions foreseen: (If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)

Indication of the status quo of the suggestions of the Joint Studies Committee/response within the Degree course

Please note: the texts in blue represent indications to support the compilation and must be removed before submitting the Form.

The Degree course should give a brief description of the status quo of the implementation of the suggestions and corrective actions of the Joint Studies Committee using the schema below (for each proposed action).

Action n.:

Title:

Goal to be improved:

Indicator(s):

Responsible: *(where possible, it is suggested to identify a single person and not a group e.g., course council, course lecturers)*

Description of measures to be taken:

Duration (execution time/deadline):

Status: *(Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)*

Additional actions foreseen: *(If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)*

Did the Degree Course take into consideration the indications and recommendations expressed by the University Evaluation Board (NdV) in the previous year's report, Report on the Survey of Students' Opinions (pursuant to Art. 1, paragraph 2 of Law 370/1999) of the previous academic year and those of any audit carried out during the year? With what results?

(Indicate implemented, ongoing and planned corrective actions)

Please note: the texts in blue represent indications to support the compilation and must be removed before submitting the Form.

The Degree course should give a brief description of the status quo of the implementation of the suggestions and corrective actions of the University Evaluation Board using the schema below (for each proposed action).

Action n.:

Title:

Goal to be improved:

Indicator(s):

Responsible: *(where possible, it is suggested to identify a single person and not a group e.g., Course Council, course lecturers)*

Description of measures to be taken:

Duration (execution time/deadline):

Status: *(Planned -Executed - Non-executed - In progress)*

Additional actions foreseen: *(If the corrective action has not been executed or completed)*